BEST AVAILABLE CO

Organization TC2100 BIdg./Room RANDOLPH UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PO. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 P.O. Box 1450

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EN

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 2231

LUSPIO MALL CENTRAL CENTERAL



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,878	03/01/2002	Andreas Dicks	PHDE 010057 1980		
7590 10/06/2005			EXAMINER		
U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF		
Tarrytown, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

NOV 2 5 2005

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Ahandonment	10/086,878	DICKS, AND

ANDREAS **Art Unit** Examiner Shawki S. Ismail 2155 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>07 April 2005</u>. ___), which is after the expiration of the (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ___ period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on ____ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on ____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: see attached interview sumary

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	10/086,87	8	DICKS, ANDREAS	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Shawki S.	Ismail	2155	
All Participants:	Status o	of Application:		
(1) <u>Shawki S. Ismail</u> .	(3)	······································		
(2)	(4)	'		
Date of Interview: 6 September 2005	Time: <u>1</u>	1:00 a.m.		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:		entative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.			• DIGGLIGOED	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Michael Marion's (Reg. No. 32266) asistant confirmed the				ent.
Part III.				
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ion. The examiner parate record of th	will provide a writh se substance of the	ten summary of t e interview, since	the substance

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant	s Representative S	Signature – if app	ropriate)